

Darboux transformations for two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equations

Zi-Xiang Zhou

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Email: zxzhou@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

The Darboux transformations for the two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equations corresponding to all seven infinite series of affine Kac-Moody algebras, including $A_l^{(1)}$, $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$, are presented. The Darboux transformation is constructed uniformly for the latter six series of equations with suitable choice of spectral parameters and the solutions of the Lax pairs so that all the reality symmetry, cyclic symmetry and complex orthogonal symmetry of the corresponding Lax pairs are kept invariant. The exact solutions of all these two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equations are obtained by using Darboux transformations.

1 Introduction

The two dimensional Toda equations [12] are important integrable systems. They have been studied by various methods such as inverse scattering, Hirota method, Darboux transformation etc. [1, 6, 8, 10, 13, 20]. They also have important applications in Toda field theory [4, 26] and in both Riemannian and affine geometry [2, 5, 11, 18, 19, 20, 21].

For any affine Kac-Moody algebra g , there is a two dimensional elliptic Toda equation [7, 11]

$$\Delta w_k = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^l c_{ik} w_i\right) - \kappa_k \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^l c_{i0} w_i\right) \quad (k = 1, \dots, l) \quad (1)$$

where $C = (c_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq l}$ is the generalized Cartan matrix of g , and $(\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_l)C = 0$.

There are seven infinite series of affine Kac-Moody algebras: $A_l^{(1)}$, $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$, $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ [7]. The $A_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation is just the periodic Toda equation and has been studied by a lot of authors. Especially, the Darboux transformation was given by [9] in a simple form. For the two dimensional Toda equations with $g = A_l^{(1)}$, $A_{2l}^{(2)}$ and $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, the Darboux transformation for complex solutions was presented in [15, 16, 17]. For the two dimensional (real) hyperbolic affine Toda equations with $g = A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$, the binary Darboux transformation (in integral form) was given by [14] and the Darboux transformation (in differential form) was given by [23, 24, 25].

In this paper, we present the construction of Darboux transformation for a Lax pair on $\mathbf{R}^2$ with a reality symmetry, a cyclic symmetry and a complex orthogonal symmetry simultaneously. This system contains the two dimensional elliptic Toda equations corresponding to the affine Kac-Moody algebras $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$. The degree of Darboux transformation must be high enough to keep all the symmetries of the Lax pairs. What is more, in order to get real solutions, the construction of Darboux transformations in elliptic case is different from that in hyperbolic case or in complex case. The main differences are that the distribution of their spectra are different, and the Darboux matrix depends only on the solutions of the Lax pair in hyperbolic or complex case but depends explicitly on both the solutions of the Lax pair and the potentials in elliptic case. For the two dimensional elliptic Toda equations corresponding to $A_l^{(1)}$, there is only a reality symmetry and a cyclic symmetry. Hence its Darboux transformation can be constructed in a comparatively easier way.

In Section 2, the Lax pair containing the two dimensional elliptic $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equations and its symmetries are discussed. This leads to the symmetries of the spectrum and the symmetries of the corresponding solutions of the Lax pair. In Section 3, we discuss the general construction of Darboux transformation which keeps all the reality symmetry, cyclic symmetry and complex orthogonal symmetry. The simplified explicit expressions of the solutions are obtained by Darboux transformations. In Section 4, the Darboux transformation for the two dimensional elliptic $A_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation is presented briefly, and the Darboux transformations for the two dimensional elliptic $A_l^{(1)}$, $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equations are derived from the general results in Section 3.

2 Elliptic Toda equations and their Lax pairs

First we present some notations.

Let N and m be integers with $N \geq 2$. Let $r_1, \dots, r_N$ be integers whose values are only 1 or 2 such that $r_j = 2$ only if $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$. Denote $M = r_1 + \dots + r_N$.

We divide any $M \times M$ matrix A as $A = (A_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$ where A_{jk} is a matrix of order $r_j \times r_k$. For convenience, the subscripts of a submatrix of a matrix A or a vector v are supposed to take any integer so that $A_{j'k'} = A_{jk}$ and $v_{j'} = v_j$ if $j' \equiv j \pmod{N}$ and $k' \equiv k \pmod{N}$.

Let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$, $\Omega = (\omega^{-j+1} I_{r_j} \delta_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$. Let $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$ be an $M \times M$ invertible real symmetric matrix such that $K_{j, m-j} = 1$ if $r_j = 1$, $K_{j, m-j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if $r_j = 2$, and $K_{jk} = 0$ if $j + k \not\equiv m \pmod{N}$. Let $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$ be an $M \times M$ real matrix satisfying $KJK = J^T$ and $\Omega J \Omega^{-1} = \omega J$.

Then $\Omega^N = I_M$ where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, K satisfies $K^2 = I_M$ and $\Omega K \Omega = \omega^{2-m} K$, and $J_{jk} = 0$ unless $k - j \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.

Remark. Since K is invertible and symmetric, $r_j = r_{m-j}$ must hold. Hence if there is only one j such that $r_j = 2$, then j must satisfies $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$. The condition that $r_j = 2$ only if $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$ at the beginning of this section is a stronger one so that the Darboux transformation constructed later will keep all the symmetries.

Let $z = (x+iy)/2$, $\bar{z} = (x-iy)/2$ be the complex coordinates of $\mathbf{R}^2$, then the Laplacian $\Delta = \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}}$. Consider the Lax pair

$$\Phi_z = (\lambda J + P)\Phi, \quad \Phi_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} Q\Phi \quad (2)$$

where

$$P = V_z V^{-1}, \quad Q = V J^T V^{-1} \quad (3)$$

and $V(z, \bar{z})$ is an $M \times M$ real diagonal matrix.

The integrability condition of (2) is

$$(V_z V^{-1})_{\bar{z}} + [J, V J^T V^{-1}] = 0, \quad (4)$$

which is a kind of two dimensional Toda equation when V has certain symmetries.

We will consider V satisfying reality symmetry $\bar{V} = V$, cyclic symmetry $\Omega V \Omega^{-1} = V$ and complex orthogonal symmetry $V^T K V = K$ with respect to the indefinite metric given by the real symmetric matrix K , so that (4) will lead to the two dimensional elliptic $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equations. Without the complex orthogonal symmetry, (4) will lead to the two dimensional elliptic $A_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation.

By direct computation, we have

Lemma 1 *If the diagonal matrix $V(z, \bar{z})$ satisfies*

$$\bar{V} = V, \quad \Omega V \Omega^{-1} = V, \quad V^T K V = K, \quad (5)$$

then $P = V_z V^{-1}$ and $Q = V J^T V^{-1}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega P \Omega^{-1} &= P, \quad \Omega Q \Omega^{-1} = \omega^{-1} Q, \\ K P K &= -P^T, \quad K Q K = Q^T. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Remark. If Ω is changed to $\omega \Omega$, then the relation $\Omega K \Omega = \omega^{2-m} K$ is changed to $\Omega K \Omega = \omega^{2-(m+2)} K$, but $\Omega J \Omega^{-1} = \omega J$ and $\Omega V \Omega^{-1} = V$ are unchanged. This means that the system is invariant if m is changed to $m+2$ and any subscript j is changed to $j+1$. Therefore, it is only necessary to choose $m=1$ or $m=2$ when N is even and $m=2$ when N is odd. Other systems are equivalent to these ones.

The symmetries of the Lax pair lead to the symmetries of its solutions. This fact is shown in the following lemma and will be used in constructing Darboux transformations in the next section.

Lemma 2 *Suppose V satisfies (5), Φ is a solution of the Lax pair (2) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$, then the following facts hold.*

- (i) $\Omega \Phi$ is a solution of (2) with $\lambda = \omega \lambda_0$.
- (ii) $K V^{-1} \bar{\Phi}$ is a solution of (2) with $\lambda = 1/\bar{\lambda}_0$.
- (iii) $\Psi = K \Phi$ is a solution of the adjoint Lax pair with $\lambda = -\lambda_0$.

$$\Psi_z = -(-\lambda_0 J^T + P^T) \Psi, \quad \Psi_{\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{-\lambda_0} Q^T \Psi. \quad (7)$$

(iv) When N is even, $\Psi = K\Omega^{N/2}\Phi$ is a solution of the adjoint Lax pair with $\lambda = \lambda_0$:

$$\Psi_z = -(\lambda_0 J^T + P^T)\Psi, \quad \Psi_{\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_0}Q^T\Psi. \quad (8)$$

Hence $\Phi^T K\Omega^{N/2}\Phi$ is a constant when N is even.

Proof (i) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega\Phi)_z &= \Omega(\lambda_0 J + V_z V^{-1})\Phi = (\omega\lambda_0 J + V_z V^{-1})\Omega\Phi, \\ (\Omega\Phi)_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\Omega V J^T V^{-1}\Phi = \frac{1}{\omega\lambda_0}V J^T V^{-1}\Omega\Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(ii) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (KV^{-1}\bar{\Phi})_z &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0}KV^{-1}V J^T V^{-1}\bar{\Phi} - KV^{-1}V_z V^{-1}\bar{\Phi} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}J + V_z V^{-1}\right)KV^{-1}\bar{\Phi}, \\ (KV^{-1}\bar{\Phi})_{\bar{z}} &= KV^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}_0 J + V_{\bar{z}} V^{-1})\bar{\Phi} - KV^{-1}V_{\bar{z}} V^{-1}\bar{\Phi} \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_0 V J^T V^{-1}KV^{-1}\bar{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

(iii) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} K\Phi_z &= K(\lambda_0 J + V_z V^{-1})\Phi = -(-\lambda_0 J^T + (V_z V^{-1})^T)K\Phi, \\ K\Phi_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0}KV J^T V^{-1}\Phi = -\frac{1}{-\lambda_0}(V J^T V^{-1})^T K\Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(iv) follows from (i) and (iii). The lemma is proved.

3 Darboux transformation

A matrix

$$T(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^L T_j(z, \bar{z})\lambda^{L-j}, \quad T_0 = I \quad (12)$$

is called a Darboux matrix of degree L for the Lax pair (2) if there exists a diagonal matrix $\tilde{V}(z, \bar{z})$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\tilde{V}} = \tilde{V}, \quad \Omega\tilde{V}\Omega^{-1} = \tilde{V}, \quad \tilde{V}^T K\tilde{V} = K, \quad (13)$$

such that for any solution Φ of (2), $\tilde{\Phi} = T\Phi$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\Phi}_z = (\lambda J + \tilde{P})\tilde{\Phi}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\tilde{Q}\tilde{\Phi} \quad (14)$$

with

$$\tilde{P} = \tilde{V}_z \tilde{V}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{Q} = \tilde{V} J^T \tilde{V}^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

If a Darboux matrix is constructed, new solutions of the two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equations can be obtained from a known one.

When the symmetries in (13) are not considered, the Darboux transformation can be constructed according to [22] (c.f. also [3]) as follows.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_L$ be distinct complex constants. Let H_j be a solution of the Lax pair with $\lambda = \lambda_j$, $\hat{H}_j$ be a solution of the adjoint Lax pair with $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}_j$. Let $\Gamma_{jk} = \frac{\hat{H}_j^T H_k}{\lambda_k - \hat{\lambda}_j}$ ($j, k = 1, \dots, L$), $\check{\Gamma} = \Gamma^{-1}$. Then

$$T(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^L (\lambda - \hat{\lambda}_i) \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^L \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} \hat{H}_k^T}{\lambda - \hat{\lambda}_k} \right) \quad (16)$$

is a Darboux matrix without considering symmetries.

However, for our problem, the symmetries in (13) must be satisfied. When N is odd, the construction of Darboux transformation is comparatively easier. However, when N is even, the following problem is encountered. In fact, (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2 imply that if λ_0 is an eigenvalue of the Lax pair (2), $\omega^{N/2} \lambda_0 = -\lambda_0$ is an eigenvalue of the adjoint Lax pair (8). If we choose $\lambda_j = \omega^{j-1} \mu$, $\lambda_{N+j} = \omega^{j-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1}$, $\hat{\lambda}_j = -\omega^{j-1} \mu$, $\hat{\lambda}_{N+j} = -\omega^{j-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) with $\mu \neq 0$ and $|\mu| \neq 1$ as introduced by Lemma 2, then $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2N}, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{2N}$ are not distinct, so Γ will not exist, let alone $T(\lambda)$. On the other hand, if we choose $\lambda_j = \omega^{j-1} \mu$, $\hat{\lambda}_j = -\omega^{j-1} \mu$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) with $\mu \neq 0$ and $|\mu| \neq 1$, then $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_N$ are distinct. However, in order to keep the complex orthogonal symmetry, the solution H_j cannot be chosen as column solution, but $M \times M/2$ matrix solution, which makes the result complicated when M is even and impossible when M is odd. In order to solve this problem, a limit process is needed.

No matter N is odd or even, the Darboux transformation can be constructed uniformly as follows.

Let $\Phi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)$ be a column solution of the Lax pair (2). When N is even, $\Phi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)$ should satisfy an extra condition that

$$\Phi(z_0, \bar{z}_0, \lambda)^T K \Omega^{N/2} \Phi(z_0, \bar{z}_0, \lambda) = 0 \quad (17)$$

holds for all λ and certain point $(z_0, \bar{z}_0)$. Then according to (iv) of Lemma 2,

$$\Phi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)^T K \Omega^{N/2} \Phi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) = 0 \quad (18)$$

holds identically.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j(\lambda) &= \omega^{j-1} \lambda, & \Phi_j(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) &= \Omega^{j-1} \Phi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda), \\ \lambda_{N+j}(\lambda) &= \omega^{j-1} \bar{\lambda}^{-1}, & \Phi_{N+j}(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) &= K V^{-1} \Omega^{-j+1} \overline{\Phi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$). According to (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2, each $\Phi_j(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)$ is a solution of (2) with λ replaced by $\lambda_j(\lambda)$ ($j = 1, \dots, 2N$), and $\Phi_{j+1} = \Omega \Phi_j$, $\Phi_{N+j+1} = \omega^{m-2} \Omega \Phi_{N+j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. Moreover, (iv) of Lemma 2 implies that $\Phi_j^T K \Omega^{N/2} \Phi_j = 0$ holds for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ when N is even.

Let μ be a non-zero complex number with $|\mu| \neq 1$. Denote

$$H(z, \bar{z}) = \Phi(z, \bar{z}, \mu), \quad H'(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\mu}. \quad (20)$$

Then

$$H^T K \Omega^{N/2} H = 0, \quad H'^T K \Omega^{N/2} H = -H K \Omega^{N/2} H' \quad (21)$$

when N is even. Note that the relations in (21) are identities without any conditions when N is even and m is odd, since $(K \Omega^{N/2})^T = (-1)^{2-m} K \Omega^{N/2}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j &= \omega^{j-1} \mu, & H_j &= \Omega^{j-1} H, \\ \mu_{N+j} &= \omega^{j-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1}, & H_{N+j} &= K V^{-1} \Omega^{-j+1} \bar{H} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Moreover, let $H'_j = \Omega^{j-1} H'$, $H'_{N+j} = K V^{-1} \Omega^{-j+1} \bar{H}'$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) when N is even.

Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_{jk})_{2N \times 2N}$ where

$$\Gamma_{jk}(z, \bar{z}) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} \frac{\Phi_j^T(z, \bar{z}, \lambda) K \Phi_k(z, \bar{z}, \mu)}{\lambda_j(\lambda) + \mu_k}. \quad (23)$$

By direct computation, we have

Lemma 3 *If N is odd, or N is even and $\mu_j + \mu_k \neq 0$, then*

$$\Gamma_{jk} = \frac{H_j^T K H_k}{\mu_j + \mu_k}. \quad (24)$$

If $N = 2n$ is even and $\mu_j + \mu_k = 0$, then $k = j + n$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) or $j = k + n$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{j,n+j} &= \omega^{-j+1} H_j'^T K H_{n+j}, \\ \Gamma_{n+j,j} &= -\omega^{-j+1} H_{n+j}'^T K H_j, \\ \Gamma_{N+j,N+n+j} &= -\omega^{-j+1} \bar{\mu}^2 H_{N+j}'^T K H_{N+n+j}, \\ \Gamma_{N+n+j,N+j} &= \omega^{-j+1} \bar{\mu}^2 H_{N+n+j}'^T K H_{N+j} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, for all $j, k = 1, \dots, 2N$, Γ_{jk} 's satisfy

$$\Gamma_{jk}^T = \Gamma_{kj}, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{jk,z} = H_j^T K J H_k, \quad \Gamma_{jk,\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\mu_j \mu_k} H_j^T K Q H_k. \quad (27)$$

Denote $\check{\Gamma} = \Gamma^{-1}$ when Γ is invertible. Let

$$T(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda + \mu_i) \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\lambda + \mu_k} \right), \quad (28)$$

then $T(\lambda)$ is of form (12) with $L = 2N$.

It can be checked directly that

$$T(\lambda)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda + \mu_i)^{-1} \left(I + \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\lambda - \mu_j} \right). \quad (29)$$

Moreover, from (28),

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \mu_i - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^T K, \\ T_{2N} &= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} \mu_i \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\mu_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Lemma 4 Suppose $T(\lambda)$ is given by (28), then (14) holds where

$$\tilde{P} = P - [J, T_1], \quad \tilde{Q} = T_{2N} Q T_{2N}^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

Moreover, if the diagonal matrix $\tilde{V}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{V} = \rho^{-1} T_{2N} V \quad (32)$$

where ρ is a non-zero complex constant, then $\tilde{P} = \tilde{V}_z \tilde{V}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{V} J^T \tilde{V}^{-1}$ satisfy (31).

Proof With (27) and (31), it can be verified by direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda J + \tilde{P})T - T(\lambda J + P) - T_z &= 0, \\ \lambda^{-1} \tilde{Q}T - \lambda^{-1} TQ - T_{\bar{z}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

hold for all λ , which are equivalent to (14).

Clearly, $\tilde{V} J^T \tilde{V}^{-1} = T_{2N} Q T_{2N}^{-1}$ is true. It is only necessary to prove that $\tilde{V}_z \tilde{V}^{-1} = P - [J, T_1]$ holds. Taking $\lambda = 0$ in

$$T_z + T(\lambda J + P) = (\lambda J + P - [J, T_1])T \quad (34)$$

which is the first equation of (33), we get

$$T_{2N,z} + T_{2N}P = (P - [J, T_1])T_{2N}. \quad (35)$$

On the other hand,

$$T_{2N,z} + T_{2N}P = \tilde{V}_z \tilde{V}^{-1} T_{2N} \quad (36)$$

holds by differentiating (32). Hence $\tilde{V}_z \tilde{V}^{-1} = P - [J, T_1]$. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 1 Suppose V satisfies $\bar{V} = V$, $\Omega V \Omega^{-1} = V$ and $V^T K V = K$, then $T(\lambda)$ satisfies

$$T(-\lambda)^T K T(\lambda) = (\lambda^{2N} - \mu^{2N})(\lambda^{2N} - \bar{\mu}^{-2N})K, \quad (37)$$

$$\Omega T(\lambda) \Omega^{-1} = T(\omega \lambda), \quad (38)$$

$$\lambda^{2N} \overline{T(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} = K V^{-1} T_{2N}^{-1} T(\lambda) V K. \quad (39)$$

Epecially, T_{2N} satisfies the relations $T_{2N}^T K T_{2N} = (\mu/\bar{\mu})^{2N} K$, $\Omega T_{2N} \Omega^{-1} = T_{2N}$ and $T_{2N}^ = (\bar{\mu}/\mu)^{2N} V^{-1} T_{2N} V$ where $*$ refers to Hermitian conjugation. Therefore, $(T_{2N})_{jk} \neq 0$ only if $j = k$, and the diagonal part of $(\bar{\mu}/\mu)^N (T_{2N})$ is real.*

Moreover, if $(T_{2N})_{jj}$ is diagonal whenever $r_j > 1$, then $(\bar{\mu}/\mu)^N T_{2N}$ is a real diagonal matrix, and $\tilde{V} = (\bar{\mu}/\mu)^N T_{2N} V$ satisfies $\tilde{\bar{V}} = \tilde{V}$, $\Omega \tilde{V} \Omega^{-1} = \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{V}^T K \tilde{V} = K$.

Proof With $\check{\Gamma}_{jk}^T = \check{\Gamma}_{kj}$, (37) follows directly from (28), (29) and the fact $\prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\mu_i^2 - \lambda^2) = (\lambda^{2N} - \mu^{2N})(\lambda^{2N} - \bar{\mu}^{-2N})$.

Denote

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

where A, B, C, D are $N \times N$ matrices. For $j, k = 1, \dots, 2N$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{jk} &= \frac{H_j^T K H_k}{\mu_j + \mu_k} = \frac{H^T \Omega^{j-1} K \Omega^{k-1} H}{\omega^{j-1} \mu + \omega^{k-1} \mu} = \omega^{(1-m)(j-1)} \frac{H^T K \Omega^{k-j} H}{(1 + \omega^{k-j}) \mu} \\ &\quad (\text{if } \omega^{k-j} \neq -1), \\ B_{jk} &= \frac{H_j^T K H_{N+k}}{\mu_j + \mu_{N+k}} = \frac{H^T \Omega^{j-1} V^{-1} \Omega^{-k+1} \bar{H}}{\omega^{j-1} \mu + \omega^{k-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1}} = \omega^{-(j-1)} \frac{H^T V^{-1} \Omega^{j-k} \bar{H}}{\mu + \omega^{k-j} \bar{\mu}^{-1}}, \\ C_{jk} &= \frac{H_{N+j}^T K H_k}{\mu_{N+j} + \mu_k} = \frac{H^* \Omega^{-j+1} V^{-1} \Omega^{k-1} H}{\omega^{j-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1} + \omega^{k-1} \mu} = \omega^{-(j-1)} \frac{H^* V^{-1} \Omega^{k-j} H}{\bar{\mu}^{-1} + \omega^{k-j} \mu}, \\ D_{jk} &= \frac{H_{N+j}^T K H_{N+k}}{\mu_{N+j} + \mu_{N+k}} = \frac{H^* \Omega^{-j+1} V^{-1} K V^{-1} \Omega^{-k+1} \bar{H}}{\omega^{j-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1} + \omega^{k-1} \bar{\mu}^{-1}} \\ &= \omega^{(m-3)(j-1)} \frac{H^* K \Omega^{j-k} \bar{H}}{(1 + \omega^{k-j}) \bar{\mu}^{-1}} \quad (\text{if } \omega^{k-j} \neq -1). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$\omega^{k-j} = -1$ occurs only when $N = 2n$ is even and $j - k \equiv n \pmod{N}$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j,j+\varepsilon n} &= \omega^{(1-m)(j-1)} H'^T K \Omega^n H, \\ D_{j,j+\varepsilon n} &= -\omega^{(m-3)(j-1)} \bar{\mu}^2 H'^* K \Omega^n \bar{H} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\varepsilon = -1$ for $j = n+1, \dots, 2n$.

Hence Γ satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & E \end{pmatrix} \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & E \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \omega^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \omega^{m-2} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{2-m} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (43)$$

where $E = (\delta_{j,k-1})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$. Denote $\Gamma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{A} & \check{B} \\ \check{C} & \check{D} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\check{A}, \check{B}, \check{C}, \check{D}$ are $N \times N$ matrices, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & E \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & E \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{m-2} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \omega^{2-m} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

Hence for $j, k = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{A}_{j-1,k-1} &= \omega^{1-m} \check{A}_{jk}, & \check{B}_{j-1,k-1} &= \omega^{-1} \check{B}_{jk}, \\ \check{C}_{j-1,k-1} &= \omega^{-1} \check{C}_{jk}, & \check{D}_{j-1,k-1} &= \omega^{m-3} \check{D}_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, by the definition of H_j 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega H_j &= H_{j+1}, & \Omega H_{N+j} &= \omega^{2-m} H_{N+j+1}, \\ H_k^T K \Omega^{-1} &= \omega^{m-2} H_{k+1}^T K, & H_{N+k}^T K \Omega^{-1} &= H_{N+k+1}^T K \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

for $j, k = 1, \dots, N-1$. (45) and (46) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega T(\lambda) \Omega^{-1} &= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda + \mu_i) \Omega \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_j \check{A}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\lambda + \mu_k} - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_j \check{B}_{jk} H_{N+k}^T K}{\lambda + \mu_{N+k}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{N+j} \check{C}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\lambda + \mu_k} - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{N+j} \check{D}_{jk} H_{N+k}^T K}{\lambda + \mu_{N+k}} \right) \Omega^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda + \mu_i) \left(I - \omega^{m-2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{j+1} \check{A}_{jk} H_{k+1}^T K}{\lambda + \mu_k} - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{j+1} \check{B}_{jk} H_{N+k+1}^T K}{\lambda + \mu_{N+k}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{N+j+1} \check{C}_{jk} H_{k+1}^T K}{\lambda + \mu_k} - \omega^{2-m} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{N+j+1} \check{D}_{jk} H_{N+k+1}^T K}{\lambda + \mu_{N+k}} \right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\omega \lambda + \mu_i) \left(I - \omega^{m-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_j \check{A}_{j-1,k-1} H_k^T K}{\omega \lambda + \mu_k} - \omega \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_j \check{B}_{j-1,k-1} H_{N+k}^T K}{\omega \lambda + \mu_{N+k}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \omega \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{N+j} \check{C}_{j-1,k-1} H_k^T K}{\omega \lambda + \mu_k} - \omega^{3-m} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_{N+j} \check{D}_{j-1,k-1} H_{N+k}^T K}{\omega \lambda + \mu_{N+k}} \right) \\
&= T(\omega \lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

This proves (38).

By (41) and (42),

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{A}_{jk} &= \omega^{j+k-2} \bar{\mu}^{-2} D_{jk}, & \bar{B}_{jk} &= \omega^{j+k-2} \bar{\mu}^{-1} \mu C_{jk}, \\
\bar{C}_{jk} &= \omega^{j+k-2} \bar{\mu}^{-1} \mu B_{jk}, & \bar{D}_{jk} &= \omega^{j+k-2} \mu^2 A_{jk},
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{A} &= \bar{\mu}^{-2} \Omega^{-1} D \Omega^{-1}, & \bar{B} &= \bar{\mu}^{-1} \mu \Omega^{-1} C \Omega^{-1}, \\
\bar{C} &= \bar{\mu}^{-1} \mu \Omega^{-1} B \Omega^{-1}, & \bar{D} &= \mu^2 \Omega^{-1} A \Omega^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Hence

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} & \bar{\mu}^{-1} \Omega^{-1} \\ \mu \Omega^{-1} & \end{pmatrix} \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} & \mu \Omega^{-1} \\ \bar{\mu}^{-1} \Omega^{-1} & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{50}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & \bar{\mu} \Omega \\ \mu^{-1} \Omega & \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} & \mu^{-1} \Omega \\ \bar{\mu} \Omega & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{51}$$

Written in components,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\check{A}}_{jk} &= \omega^{-j-k+2} \bar{\mu}^2 \check{D}_{jk}, & \bar{\check{B}}_{jk} &= \omega^{-j-k+2} \mu^{-1} \bar{\mu} \check{C}_{jk}, \\
\bar{\check{C}}_{jk} &= \omega^{-j-k+2} \mu^{-1} \bar{\mu} \check{B}_{jk}, & \bar{\check{D}}_{jk} &= \omega^{-j-k+2} \mu^{-2} \check{A}_{jk},
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

i.e.

$$\bar{\check{\Gamma}}_{jk} = \frac{1}{\mu_{N+j} \mu_{N+k}} \check{\Gamma}_{N+j, N+k}. \tag{53}$$

By the definition of H_j 's,

$$\bar{H}_j = K V^{-1} H_{N+j}, \quad H_k^* K = H_{N+k}^T V^{-1} \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, 2N). \tag{54}$$

By (53), (54) and the fact $\mu_{N+k}\bar{\mu}_k = 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, 2N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{2N} T_{2N} K V^{-1} \overline{T(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} V K \\
&= \lambda^{2N} \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda^{-1} + \bar{\mu}_i) T_{2N} K V^{-1} \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{\bar{H}_j \bar{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^* K}{\lambda^{-1} + \bar{\mu}_k} \right) V K \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (1 + \bar{\mu}_i \lambda) T_{2N} \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{H_{N+j} \check{\Gamma}_{N+j, N+k} H_{N+k}^T K}{\mu_{N+j} \mu_{N+k} (\lambda^{-1} + \bar{\mu}_k)} \right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda + \mu_i) \left(I - \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N} \frac{H_a \check{\Gamma}_{ab} H_b^T K}{\mu_b} \right) \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{\lambda H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\mu_j (\lambda + \mu_k)} \right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{2N} (\lambda + \mu_i) \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^T K}{\lambda + \mu_k} \right) = T(\lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Hence (39) holds.

Now we consider the symmetries of T_{2N} .

$T_{2N}^T K T_{2N} = (\mu/\bar{\mu})^{2N} K$ and $\Omega T_{2N} \Omega^{-1} = T_{2N}$ are derived by setting $\lambda = 0$ in (37) and (38). Taking the coefficient of λ^{2N} in (39), we get $\bar{T}_{2N} = K V^{-1} T_{2N}^{-1} V K$. Then $T_{2N}^* = (\bar{\mu}/\mu)^{2N} V^{-1} T_{2N} V$ is obtained by using $T_{2N}^T K T_{2N} = (\mu/\bar{\mu})^{2N} K$.

If $(T_{2N})_{jj}$ is diagonal whenever $r_j > 1$, then $T_{2N}^* = (\bar{\mu}/\mu)^{2N} V^{-1} T_{2N} V$ implies that $(\bar{\mu}/\mu)^N T_{2N}$ is a real diagonal matrix. In this case, V is a real diagonal matrix satisfying $\Omega \tilde{V} \Omega^{-1} = \tilde{V}$, $\tilde{V}^T K \tilde{V} = K$. The theorem is proved.

According to Theorem 1, to verify that $T(\lambda)$ is a Darboux matrix keeping all the symmetries in (5), it is only necessary to verify that $(T_{2N})_{jj}$ is diagonal whenever $r_j > 1$. In the rest of this section, we will show this fact and simplify the expression of the solutions.

Lemma 5 *Let N be a positive integer, p be an integer, a be a complex number. Let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$. For any integer k , let $\{k\}$ be the remainder of k divided by N . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\omega^{-p(i-1)}}{1 - a\omega^{i-1}} = \frac{Na^{\{p\}}}{1 - a^N} \tag{56}$$

if $a^N \neq 1$, and

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^N \frac{\omega^{-p(i-1)}}{1 - a\omega^{i-1}} = \left(\frac{N-1}{2} - \{p\} \right) a^{\{p\}} \tag{57}$$

if $a = \omega^{-k+1}$.

Proof Suppose $|a| < 1$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^N \omega^{k(i-1)} = N\delta_{k0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\omega^{-p(i-1)}}{1 - a\omega^{i-1}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N a^k \omega^{(k-p)(i-1)} = N \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k-p \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}}^{\infty} a^k \\
&= N \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{Nj+\{p\}} = \frac{Na^{\{p\}}}{1 - a^N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Similar conclusion holds for a with $|a| > 1$. When $|a| = 1$ but $a^N \neq 1$, (56) is derived by a limit. When $a = \omega^{-k+1}$, (57) is obtained similarly without considering the term with $i = k$. The lemma is proved.

Let e_a ($a = 1, \dots, N$) be N dimensional vectors with the entries $(e_a)_k = \omega^{-(a-1)(k-1)}$, then $e_a^* e_b = N\delta_{ab}$. Write $H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_N \end{pmatrix}$ where h_j is an $r_j \times 1$ column vector. By (41), (42) and Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} (Ae_a)_j &= \sum_{k=1}^N A_{jk}(e_a)_k \\ &= \sum_{k,c=1}^N \frac{\omega^{(1-m)(j-1)} \omega^{-(k-j)(c-1)} \omega^{-(a-1)(k-1)} h_{m-c}^T K_{m-c,c} h_c}{(1 + \omega^{k-j})\mu} \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^N \frac{N}{2\mu} (-1)^{\{a+c-2\}} h_{m-c}^T K_{m-c,c} h_c (e_{a+m-1})_j \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

when N is odd, and

$$\begin{aligned} (Ae_a)_j &= \sum_{\substack{k,c=1 \\ k \neq j+\varepsilon n}}^N \frac{\omega^{(1-m)(j-1)} \omega^{-(k-j)(c-1)} \omega^{-(a-1)(k-1)} h_{m-c}^T K_{m-c,c} h_c}{(1 + \omega^{k-j})\mu} \\ &\quad + \sum_{c=1}^N \omega^{(1-m)(j-1)} (-1)^{c-1} \omega^{-(a-1)(j+\varepsilon n-1)} h_{m-c}'^T K_{m-c,c} h_c \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^N (-1)^{a+c-1} \left(\{a+c-2\} \mu^{-1} h_{m-c}^T K_{m-c,c} h_c - \sigma(m) h_{m-c}'^T K_{m-c,c} h_c \right) \\ &\quad \cdot (e_{a+m-1})_j \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

when N is even with $\sigma(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$. Here we use the facts $(-1)^{\{k\}} = (-1)^k$ and $H^T K \Omega^n H = 0$ for even N , and $H'^T K \Omega^n H = 0$ for even N and even m .

Likewise, we can get all the expressions of Ae_a , Be_a , Ce_a and De_a for both odd and even N . They are

$$\begin{aligned} Ae_a &= N\mu^{-1} \alpha_a e_{a+m-1}, & Be_a &= N\mu^{-1} \beta_a e_{a+1}, \\ Ce_a &= N\bar{\mu} \gamma_a e_{a+1}, & De_a &= N\bar{\mu} \delta_a e_{a-m+3} \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_a &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^N (-1)^{\{a+c-2\}} h_{m-c}^T K_{m-c,c} h_c, \\ \beta_a &= |\mu|^2 \sum_{c=1}^N \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{c-a-1\}}}{1 + |\mu|^{2N}} h_c^* V_{cc}^{-1} h_c, \\ \gamma_a &= \sum_{c=1}^N \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{a+c-2\}}}{1 + |\mu|^{2N}} h_c^* V_{cc}^{-1} h_c, \\ \delta_a &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^N (-1)^{\{a-c\}} h_{m-c}^* K_{m-c,c} \bar{h}_c \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

for odd N , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_a &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{c=1}^N (-1)^{a+c-1} \left(\{a+c-2\} h_{m-c}^T K_{m-c,c} h_c - \sigma(m) \mu h_{m-c}'^T K_{m-c,c} h_c \right) \\
\beta_a &= |\mu|^2 \sum_{c=1}^N \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{c-a-1\}}}{1-|\mu|^{2N}} h_c^* V_{cc}^{-1} h_c, \\
\gamma_a &= \sum_{c=1}^N \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{a+c-2\}}}{1-|\mu|^{2N}} h_c^* V_{cc}^{-1} h_c, \\
\delta_a &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{c=1}^N (-1)^{a+c-1} \left(\{a-c\} h_{m-c}^* K_{m-c,c} \bar{h}_c + \sigma(m) \bar{\mu} h_{m-c}'^* K_{m-c,c} \bar{h}_c \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

for even N .

Since $\Gamma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{A} & \check{B} \\ \check{C} & \check{D} \end{pmatrix}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{A} &= (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}, & \check{B} &= -A^{-1}B(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}, \\
\check{C} &= -D^{-1}C(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}, & \check{D} &= (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{A}e_a &= \frac{\mu}{N} \frac{\delta_{a-1}}{\Delta_{a+1}} e_{a-m+1}, & \check{B}e_a &= -\frac{1}{N\bar{\mu}} \frac{\beta_{a+m-3}}{\Delta_{a+m-1}} e_{a-1}, \\
\check{C}e_a &= -\frac{\mu}{N} \frac{\gamma_{a-m+1}}{\Delta_{a+1}} e_{a-1}, & \check{D}e_a &= \frac{1}{N\bar{\mu}} \frac{\alpha_{a-1}}{\Delta_{a+m-1}} e_{a+m-3}
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where

$$\Delta_a = \alpha_{a-m} \delta_{a-2} - \beta_{a-2} \gamma_{a-m}. \tag{66}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b=1}^N \check{A}_{ab} \omega^{-(j-1)(b-1)} &= \frac{\mu}{N} \frac{\delta_{j-1}}{\Delta_{j+1}} \omega^{-(j-m)(a-1)}, \\
\sum_{b=1}^N \check{B}_{ab} \omega^{-(j-1)(b-1)} &= -\frac{1}{N\bar{\mu}} \frac{\beta_{j+m-3}}{\Delta_{j+m-1}} \omega^{-(j-2)(a-1)}, \\
\sum_{b=1}^N \check{C}_{ab} \omega^{-(j-1)(b-1)} &= -\frac{\mu}{N} \frac{\gamma_{j-m+1}}{\Delta_{j+1}} \omega^{-(j-2)(a-1)}, \\
\sum_{b=1}^N \check{D}_{ab} \omega^{-(j-1)(b-1)} &= \frac{1}{N\bar{\mu}} \frac{\alpha_{j-1}}{\Delta_{j+m-1}} \omega^{-(j+m-4)(a-1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

(62), (63) and the identity $\{-p-1\} + \{p\} = N-1$ lead to the following relations.

Lemma 6 *The coefficients $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k, \delta_k$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{3-m-k} &= \alpha_k, & \bar{\delta}_k &= \alpha_{k-m+2}, \\
\bar{\beta}_k &= \beta_k, & \bar{\gamma}_k &= \gamma_k, & \beta_k &= |\mu|^2 \gamma_{1-k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Moreover,

$$\Delta_k = |\alpha_{k-m}|^2 - \beta_{k-2} \gamma_{k-m} \tag{69}$$

is real and satisfies

$$\Delta_{3+m-k} = \Delta_k. \tag{70}$$

From (67), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\mu_b^{-1} H_a \check{A}_{ab} H_b^T K)_{jk} &= \Delta_{2+m-j}^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{2-j} h_j h_{m-j}^T K_{m-j,j} \delta_{jk}, \\
\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\mu_{N+b}^{-1} H_a \check{B}_{ab} H_{N+b}^T K)_{jk} &= -\Delta_{2+m-j}^{-1} \beta_{m-j} h_j h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} \delta_{jk}, \\
\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\mu_b^{-1} H_{N+a} \check{C}_{ab} H_b^T K)_{jk} &= -\Delta_{2+m-j}^{-1} \gamma_{2-j} K_{j,m-j} V_{m-j,m-j}^{-1} \bar{h}_{m-j} h_{m-j}^T K_{m-j,j} \delta_{jk}, \\
\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\mu_{N+b}^{-1} H_{N+a} \check{D}_{ab} H_{N+b}^T K)_{jk} &= \Delta_{2+m-j}^{-1} \alpha_{2-j} K_{j,m-j} V_{m-j,m-j}^{-1} \bar{h}_{m-j} h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} \delta_{jk}
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

for $a, b = 1, \dots, N$.

Denote $T_{2N} = (\mu/\bar{\mu})^N F$ where $F = I - \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N} \mu_b^{-1} H_a \check{\Gamma}_{ab} H_b^T K$. (71) leads to $F = (f_j \delta_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j = I_{r_j} - \Delta_{2+m-j}^{-1} &\left(\bar{\alpha}_{2-j} h_j h_{m-j}^T K_{m-j,j} + \alpha_{2-j} K_{j,m-j} V_{m-j,m-j}^{-1} \bar{h}_{m-j} h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} \right. \\
&\left. - \beta_{m-j} h_j h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j} K_{j,m-j} V_{m-j,m-j}^{-1} \bar{h}_{m-j} h_{m-j}^T K_{m-j,j} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

is an $r_j \times r_j$ matrix.

Computing f_j 's explicitly, we get the expressions of new solutions of the two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equation (4) from known ones by Darboux transformation.

Theorem 2 *Let $V(z, \bar{z})$ be a real diagonal matrix solution of the two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equation (4), satisfying $V^T K V = K$ and $\det V_{jj} = 1$ for j with $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$.*

Let $H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_N \end{pmatrix}$ be a column solution of the Lax pair (2) with $\lambda = \mu$ ($\mu \neq 0, |\mu| \neq 1$)

where h_j is an $r_j \times 1$ column vector. When both N and m are even, H should also satisfy the constraint $H^T K \Omega^{N/2} H = 0$. Let $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j$ be given by (62) and (63) for odd and even N respectively, and Δ_j be given by (69). When $r_j = 2$, denote $V_{jj} = \begin{pmatrix} v_j & \\ & v_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$,

$h_j = \begin{pmatrix} h_{j1} \\ h_{j2} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$f_j = \frac{\Delta_{1+m-j}}{\Delta_{2+m-j}} \tag{73}$$

if $r_j = 1$, and

$$f_j = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j}}{|h_{j2}|^2 v_j - \gamma_{2-j}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|h_{j2}|^2 v_j - \gamma_{2-j}}{|h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{74}$$

if $r_j = 2$. Moreover, $\det f_j = 1$ for j with $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$ no matter $r_j = 1$ or 2 . Therefore, $\tilde{V} = (V_{jj}f_j\delta_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$ is a new real diagonal solution of (4) provided that f_j is positive definite, and satisfies $\tilde{V}^T K \tilde{V} = K$ and $\det \tilde{V}_{jj} = 1$ for j with $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$.

Proof First suppose $r_j = 2$. As demanded at the beginning of Section 2, $r_j = 2$ holds only when $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$.

When $N = 2n$ is even, $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$ happens only when m is even, and $j = m/2$ or $j = n + m/2$ in this case. For this j , the constraint $H^T K \Omega^n H = 0$ implies

$$h_j^T K_{jj} h_j + (-1)^n h_{n+j}^T K_{n+j,n+j} h_{n+j} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k h_{j-k}^T K_{j-k,j+k} h_{j+k} = 0. \quad (75)$$

With this constraint,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2-j} &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{c=1}^{2n} (-1)^{j+c+1} \{c-j\} h_{2j-c}^T K_{2j-c,c} h_c \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+1} h_{n+j}^T K_{n+j,n+j} h_{n+j} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} h_{j-k}^T K_{j-k,j+k} h_{j+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h_j^T K_{jj} h_j = h_{j1} h_{j2}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_j + \gamma_{2-j} &= |\mu|^2 \sum_{c=1}^{2n} \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{c-j-1\}}}{1 - |\mu|^{4n}} h_c^* V_{cc}^{-1} h_c + \sum_{c=1}^{2n} \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{c-j\}}}{1 - |\mu|^{4n}} h_c^* V_{cc}^{-1} h_c \\ &= h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} h_j = |h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} + |h_{j2}|^2 v_j. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Hence if $r_j = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j &= I_2 - \Delta_{2+j}^{-1} \left(\bar{\alpha}_{2-j} h_j h_j^T K_{jj} + \alpha_{2-j} K_{jj} V_{jj}^{-1} \bar{h}_j h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta_j h_j h_j^* V_{jj}^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j} K_{jj} V_{jj}^{-1} \bar{h}_j h_j^T K_{jj} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j}}{|h_{j2}|^2 v_j - \gamma_{2-j}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|h_{j2}|^2 v_j - \gamma_{2-j}}{|h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

is a diagonal matrix with $\det f_j = 1$, since

$$\Delta_{2+j} = (|h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} - \gamma_{2-j})(|h_{j2}|^2 v_j - \gamma_{2-j}). \quad (79)$$

When N is odd, there is no constraint on H . From (62), the equalities $\alpha_{2-j} = h_{j1} h_{j2}$ and $\beta_j + \gamma_{2-j} = |h_{j1}|^2 v_j^{-1} + |h_{j2}|^2 v_j$ still hold for j with $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$. Hence (78) is still true when N is odd and $r_j = 2$.

Now we consider the case $r_j = 1$. Denote $\sigma_j = V_{jj}^{-1} |h_j|^2$, $\tau_j = h_{m-j} h_j$, then

$$f_j = 1 - \Delta_{2+m-j}^{-1} (\bar{\alpha}_{2-j} \tau_j + \alpha_{2-j} \bar{\tau}_j - \beta_{m-j} \sigma_j - r_{2-j} \sigma_{m-j}) \quad (80)$$

since $V_{m-j,m-j} V_{jj} = 1$. By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{m-j-1} + |\mu|^2 \beta_{m-j} &= |\mu|^2 \sigma_{m-j}, \\ \gamma_{2-j} + |\mu|^2 \gamma_{1-j} &= \sigma_j, \\ \alpha_{2-j} + \alpha_{1-j} &= \tau_j. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Here the relation $H^T K \Omega^n H = 0$ is used for even N . (81) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{2+m-j} - \Delta_{1+m-j} &= |\alpha_{2-j}|^2 - |\alpha_{1-j}|^2 - \beta_{m-j}\gamma_{2-j} + \beta_{m-j-1}\gamma_{1-j} \\ &= \bar{\alpha}_{2-j}\tau_j + \alpha_{2-j}\bar{\tau}_j - \beta_{m-j}\sigma_j - r_{2-j}\sigma_{m-j} + \sigma_j\sigma_{m-j} - |\tau_j|^2 \\ &= \bar{\alpha}_{2-j}\tau_j + \alpha_{2-j}\bar{\tau}_j - \beta_{m-j}\sigma_j - r_{2-j}\sigma_{m-j}\end{aligned}\quad (82)$$

by using $V_{m-j,m-j}V_{jj} = 1$. Hence

$$f_j = \frac{\Delta_{1+m-j}}{\Delta_{2+m-j}}. \quad (83)$$

If j satisfies $2j \equiv m \pmod{N}$, then (70) implies $f_j = 1$. The theorem is proved.

According to different choices of N , K and $r_1, \dots, r_N$, the local solutions of various two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equations can be obtained from Theorem 2, which will be shown in the next section.

Although in Theorem 2, only Darboux transformation with one spectral parameter μ is presented, Darboux transformation of higher degree can be obtained by the composition of this kind of Darboux transformations.

4 Darboux transformations for two dimensional elliptic $A_l^{(1)}$, $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$, $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equations

In this section we present the explicit expressions of new solutions given by Darboux transformations for various two dimensional elliptic affine Toda equations.

The two dimensional elliptic $A_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation is not contained in the system discussed in Section 2 and 3, since it does not possess the complex orthogonal symmetry. However, a simpler Darboux transformation can be constructed due to the lack of this symmetry.

For the rest six series of equations the results presented below are the consequences of Theorem 2 directly.

4.1 Two dimensional elliptic $A_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 1$)

In this case, $M = N = l + 1$, $r_1 = \dots = r_{l+1} = 1$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{l+1}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq l+1}$ with $\Omega_{jk} = \omega^{-j+1}\delta_{jk}$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq l+1}$ with $J_{jk} = \delta_{j+1, k}$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq l+1}$ with $V_{jk} = e^{u_j}\delta_{jk}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{l+1} u_j = 0$. There is no matrix K defining complex orthogonal symmetry.

The equation is

$$\Delta u_j = e^{u_j - u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1} - u_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, l+1) \quad (84)$$

with $u_0 = u_{l+1}$, $u_{l+2} = u_1$. By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1 - w_2} - e^{-w_1 - w_l}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1} + 2w_j - w_{j+1}} - e^{-w_1 - w_l} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta w_l &= e^{-w_{l-1} + 2w_l} - e^{-w_1 - w_l}.\end{aligned}\quad (85)$$

Similar to (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2, we know that if Φ is a solution of the Lax pair with $\lambda = \lambda_0$, $V^{-1}\bar{\Phi}$ is a solution of the adjoint Lax pair with $\lambda = -\bar{\lambda}_0^{-1}$. (This symmetry can also be changed to a unitary symmetry by a gauge transformation [11, 21].) Owing to this fact, the Darboux transformation can be constructed as follows.

Let μ be a non-zero complex number with $|\mu| \neq 1$. Let H be a column solution of the Lax pair (2). Let $\mu_j = \omega^{j-1}\mu$, $H_j = \Omega^{j-1}H$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) with $N = l + 1$. Let $\Gamma_{jk} = \frac{H_j^* V^{-1} H_k}{1 + \bar{\mu}_j \mu_k}$, then $\Gamma_{j+1,k+1} = \Gamma_{jk}$, $\Gamma_{jk}^* = \Gamma_{kj}$, $\Gamma_{jk,z} = \bar{\mu}_j^{-1} H_j^* V^{-1} J H_k$, $\Gamma_{jk,\bar{z}} = \mu_k^{-1} H_j^* J^T V^{-1} H_k$.

Let

$$T(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N (\lambda + \bar{\mu}_i^{-1}) \left(I - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^* V^{-1}}{\bar{\mu}_k \lambda + 1} \right), \quad (86)$$

where $\check{\Gamma} = \Gamma^{-1}$, then

$$T(\lambda)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^N (\lambda + \bar{\mu}_i^{-1})^{-1} \left(I + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{H_j \check{\Gamma}_{jk} H_k^* V^{-1}}{\bar{\mu}_k (\lambda - \mu_j)} \right). \quad (87)$$

Similar to Lemma 4, we know that $\tilde{V} = \rho^{-1} T_N V$ satisfies (4) for any non-zero complex number ρ . What is more, similar to Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega T(\lambda) \Omega^{-1} &= T(\omega \lambda), \\ \lambda^N V T(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})^* V^{-1} T_N^{-1} &= \prod_{i=1}^N (\mu_i^{-1} \lambda - 1) (\bar{\mu}_i \lambda + 1) T(\lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

hold by simpler computation which is omitted here. Especially, T_N satisfies $\Omega T_N \Omega^{-1} = T_N$, $T_N^* = (\bar{\mu}/\mu)^N V^{-1} T_N V = (\bar{\mu}/\mu)^N T_N$ since all $r_j = 1$. Hence $(-1)^N \mu^{-N} T_N$ is a real diagonal matrix.

Written in terms of the basis $\{e_\alpha\}$, we have

$$\Gamma e_a = N \alpha_a e_a, \quad \Gamma^{-1} e_a = \frac{1}{N} \alpha_a^{-1} e_a \quad (89)$$

where

$$\alpha_a = \sum_{c=1}^N \frac{(-|\mu|^2)^{\{a+c-2\}}}{1 - (-|\mu|^2)^N} |h_c|^2 V_{cc}^{-1}. \quad (90)$$

Then $T_N = ((-1)^N \bar{\mu}^{-N} |\mu|^2 f_j \delta_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$ where

$$f_j = |\mu|^{-2} (\alpha_{2-j}^{-1} |h_j|^2 V_{jj}^{-1} - 1) = \frac{\alpha_{1-j}}{\alpha_{2-j}} \quad (91)$$

by using (90). Hence we get the following explicit result for the new solution $\tilde{V} = (f_j V_{jj} \delta_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq l+1}$.

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_{l+1})$ be a solution of (84), $(h_1, \dots, h_{l+1})^T$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair where $h_1, \dots, h_{l+1}$ are scalar functions. Then the new solution of (84) is $\tilde{u}_j = u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, l+1$) with

$$g_j = \sum_{c=1}^{l+1} (-|\mu|^2)^{\{c-j\}} |h_c|^2 e^{-u_c}. \quad (92)$$

4.2 Two dimensional elliptic $A_{2l}^{(2)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 1$)

In this case, $M = N = 2l + 1$, $r_1, \dots, r_{2l+1} = 1$, $m = 2$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l+1}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l+1}$ with $\Omega_{jj} = \omega^{-j+1} \delta_{jk}$; $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l+1}$ with $K_{jk} = \delta_{j+k, 2}$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l+1}$ with $J_{jk} = \delta_{j+1, k}$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l+1}$ with non-zero entries $V_{11} = 1$, $V_{j+1, j+1} = e^{u_j}$ and $V_{2l+2-j, 2l+2-j} = e^{-u_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. The equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_1 &= e^{u_1} - e^{u_2 - u_1}, \\ \Delta u_j &= e^{u_j - u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1} - u_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta u_l &= e^{u_l - u_{l-1}} - e^{-2u_l} \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

when $l \geq 2$ and

$$\Delta u_1 = e^{u_1} - e^{-2u_1}. \quad (94)$$

when $l = 1$. By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), they become

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1 - w_2} - e^{-w_1}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1} + 2w_j - w_{j+1}} - e^{-w_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta w_l &= e^{-2w_{l-1} + 2w_l} - e^{-w_l} \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

and

$$\Delta w_1 = e^{2w_1} - e^{-w_1} \quad (96)$$

respectively.

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ be a solution of (93) or (94), $(h_1, \dots, h_{2l+1})^T$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair where $h_1, \dots, h_{2l+1}$ are scalar functions. By Theorem 2, the new solution of (93) or (94) is $\tilde{u}_j = u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) where

$$g_j = \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{c=1}^{2l+1} (-1)^{\{c-j\}} h_{2-c} h_c \right|^2 - |\mu|^2 \gamma_{j-1} \gamma_{2-j} \quad (97)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j &= \frac{1}{1 + |\mu|^{4l+2}} \left((-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-1\}} |h_1|^2 + \sum_{c=1}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+c-1\}} |h_{1+c}|^2 e^{-u_c} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{c=1}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-c-1\}} |h_{1-c}|^2 e^{u_c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

4.3 Two dimensional elliptic $C_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 2$)

In this case, $M = N = 2l$, $r_1, \dots, r_{2l} = 1$, $m = 1$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with $\Omega_{jk} = \omega^{-j+1} \delta_{jk}$; $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with $K_{jk} = \delta_{j+k, 1}$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with $J_{jk} =$

$\delta_{j+1,k}$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq 2l}$ with non-zero entries $V_{jj} = e^{u_j}$ and $V_{2l+1-j, 2l+1-j} = e^{-u_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. The equation is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_1 &= e^{2u_1} - e^{u_2 - u_1}, \\ \Delta u_j &= e^{u_j - u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1} - u_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta u_l &= e^{u_l - u_{l-1}} - e^{-2u_l}.\end{aligned}\tag{99}$$

By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1 - w_2} - e^{-2w_1}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1} + 2w_j - w_{j+1}} - e^{-2w_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta w_l &= e^{-2w_{l-1} + 2w_l} - e^{-2w_l}.\end{aligned}\tag{100}$$

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ be a solution of (99), $(h_1, \dots, h_{2l})^T$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair where $h_1, \dots, h_{2l}$ are scalar functions. By Theorem 2, the new solution of (99) is $\tilde{u}_j = u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) where

$$g_j = \frac{1}{4l^2} \left| \sum_{c=1}^{2l} (-1)^c (\{c-j\} h_{1-c} h_c - \mu h'_{1-c} h_c) \right|^2 - |\mu|^2 \gamma_j \gamma_{2-j} \tag{101}$$

and

$$\gamma_j = \frac{1}{1 - |\mu|^{4l}} \left(\sum_{c=1}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+c-2\}} |h_c|^2 e^{-u_c} + \sum_{c=1}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-c-1\}} |h_{1-c}|^2 e^{u_c} \right). \tag{102}$$

4.4 Two dimensional elliptic $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 2$)

In this case, $M = N = 2l + 2$, $r_1, \dots, r_{2l+2} = 1$, $m = 2$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l+2}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq 2l+2}$ with $\Omega_{jk} = \omega^{-j+1} \delta_{jk}$; $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq 2l+2}$ with $K_{jk} = \delta_{j+k, 2}$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq 2l+2}$ with $J_{jk} = \delta_{j+1,k}$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq 2l+2}$ with non-zero entries $V_{11} = 1$, $V_{l+2, l+2} = 1$, $V_{j+1, j+1} = e^{u_j}$ and $V_{2l+3-j, 2l+3-j} = e^{-u_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. The equation is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_1 &= e^{u_1} - e^{u_2 - u_1}, \\ \Delta u_j &= e^{u_j - u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1} - u_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta u_l &= e^{u_l - u_{l-1}} - e^{-u_l}.\end{aligned}\tag{103}$$

By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l-1$) and $w_l = -\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + \dots + u_l)$, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1 - w_2} - e^{-w_1}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1} + 2w_j - w_{j+1}} - e^{-w_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-2), \\ \Delta w_{l-1} &= e^{-w_{l-2} + 2w_{l-1} - 2w_l} - e^{-w_{l-1}}, \quad \Delta w_l = \frac{1}{2} e^{-w_{l-1} + 2w_l} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-w_l}.\end{aligned}\tag{104}$$

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ be a solution of (103), $(h_1, \dots, h_{2l+2})^T$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair satisfying

$$h_1^2 + (-1)^{l+1} h_{l+2}^2 + 2 \sum_{c=2}^{l+1} (-1)^{c-1} h_c h_{2-c} = 0 \tag{105}$$

where $h_1, \dots, h_{2l+2}$ are scalar functions. By Theorem 2, the new solution of (103) is $\tilde{u}_j = u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) where

$$g_j = \frac{1}{(2l+2)^2} \left| \sum_{c=1}^{2l+2} (-1)^c \{c-j\} h_{2-c} h_c \right|^2 - |\mu|^2 \gamma_{j-1} \gamma_{2-j} \quad (106)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j = & \frac{1}{1 - |\mu|^{4l+4}} \left((-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-1\}} |h_1|^2 + (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+l\}} |h_{l+2}|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{c=1}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+c-1\}} |h_{1+c}|^2 e^{-u_c} + \sum_{c=1}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-c-1\}} |h_{1-c}|^2 e^{u_c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

4.5 Two dimensional elliptic $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 3$)

In this case, $N = 2l - 1$, $M = N + 1$, $r_1 = 2$, $r_2 = \dots = r_{2l-1} = 1$, $m = 2$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l-1}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-1}$ with non-zero entries $\Omega_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Omega_{jj} = \omega^{-j+1}$ for $j = 2, \dots, 2l-1$; $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-1}$ with non-zero entries $K_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ and $K_{j, 2l+1-j} = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, 2l-1$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-1}$ with non-zero entries $J_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $J_{2l-1, 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $J_{j, j+1} = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, 2l-2$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-1}$ with non-zero entries $V_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{u_1} & \\ & e^{-u_1} \end{pmatrix}$, $V_{jj} = e^{u_j}$ and $V_{2l+1-j, 2l+1-j} = e^{-u_j}$ for $j = 2, \dots, l$. The equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_1 &= e^{u_2+u_1} - e^{u_2-u_1}, & \Delta u_2 &= e^{u_2+u_1} + e^{u_2-u_1} - e^{u_3-u_2}, \\ \Delta u_j &= e^{u_j-u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1}-u_j} & (j = 3, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta u_l &= e^{u_l-u_{l-1}} - e^{-2u_l}. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1-w_2} - e^{-w_2}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1}+2w_j-w_{j+1}} - 2e^{-w_2} & (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta w_l &= e^{-2w_{l-1}+2w_l} - 2e^{-w_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ be a solution of (108), $\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{2l-1} \end{pmatrix}$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair where $h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ and $h_{11}, h_{12}, h_2, \dots, h_{2l-1}$ are scalar functions. By

Theorem 2, the new solution of (108) is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}_1 &= u_1 + \ln \frac{|h_{11}|^2 e^{-u_1} - \gamma_1}{|h_{12}|^2 e^{u_1} - \gamma_1}, \\ \tilde{u}_j &= u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l)\end{aligned}\tag{110}$$

where

$$g_j = \frac{1}{4} \left| 2h_{11}h_{12} + \sum_{c=2}^{2l-1} (-1)^{\{c-j\}-\{1-j\}} h_{2-c}h_c \right|^2 - |\mu|^2 \gamma_{j-1} \gamma_{2-j} \tag{111}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_j &= \frac{1}{1 + |\mu|^{4l-2}} \left((-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-1\}} (|h_{11}|^2 e^{-u_1} + |h_{12}|^2 e^{u_1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{c=2}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+c-2\}} |h_c|^2 e^{-u_c} + \sum_{c=2}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-c\}} |h_{2-c}|^2 e^{u_c} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{112}$$

4.6 Two dimensional elliptic $B_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 3$)

In this case, $N = 2l$, $M = N + 1$, $r_1 = 2$, $r_2 = \dots = r_{2l} = 1$, $m = 2$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with non-zero entries $\Omega_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Omega_{jj} = \omega^{-j+1}$ for $j = 2, \dots, 2l$; $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with non-zero entries $K_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ and $K_{j, 2l+2-j} = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, 2l$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with non-zero entries $J_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $J_{2l, 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & \end{pmatrix}$ and $J_{j, j+1} = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, 2l - 1$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l}$ with non-zero entries $V_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{u_1} & \\ & e^{-u_1} \end{pmatrix}$, $V_{l+1, l+1} = 1$, $V_{jj} = e^{u_j}$ and $V_{2l+2-j, 2l+2-j} = e^{-u_j}$ for $j = 2, \dots, l$. The equation is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_1 &= e^{u_2+u_1} - e^{u_2-u_1}, \quad \Delta u_2 = e^{u_2+u_1} + e^{u_2-u_1} - e^{u_3-u_2}, \\ \Delta u_j &= e^{u_j-u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1}-u_j} \quad (j = 3, \dots, l-1), \\ \Delta u_l &= e^{u_l-u_{l-1}} - e^{-u_l}.\end{aligned}\tag{113}$$

By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l-1$), $w_l = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + \dots + u_l)$, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1-w_2} - e^{-w_2}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1}+2w_j-w_{j+1}} - 2e^{-w_2} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-2), \\ \Delta w_{l-1} &= e^{-w_{l-2}+2w_{l-1}-2w_l} - 2e^{-w_2}, \quad \Delta w_l = \frac{1}{2}e^{-w_{l-1}+2w_l} - e^{-w_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{114}$$

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ be a solution of (113), $\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{2l} \end{pmatrix}$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair satisfying

$$2h_{11}h_{12} + (-1)^l h_{l+1}^2 + 2 \sum_{c=2}^l (-1)^{c-1} h_c h_{2-c} = 0 \tag{115}$$

where $h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ and $h_{11}, h_{12}, h_2, \dots, h_{2l}$ are scalar functions. By Theorem 2, the new solution of (113) is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}_1 &= u_1 + \ln \frac{|h_{11}|^2 e^{-u_1} - \gamma_1}{|h_{12}|^2 e^{u_1} - \gamma_1}, \\ \tilde{u}_j &= u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l)\end{aligned}\tag{116}$$

where

$$g_j = \frac{1}{4l^2} \left| 2\{1-j\}h_{11}h_{12} + \sum_{c=2}^{2l} (-1)^{c+1} \{c-j\}h_{2-c}h_c \right|^2 - |\mu|^2 \gamma_{j-1} \gamma_{2-j} \tag{117}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_j &= \frac{1}{1 - |\mu|^{4l}} \left((-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-1\}} (|h_{11}|^2 e^{-u_1} + |h_{12}|^2 e^{u_1}) + (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+l-1\}} |h_{l+1}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{c=2}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+c-2\}} |h_c|^2 e^{-u_c} + \sum_{c=2}^l (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-c\}} |h_{2-c}|^2 e^{u_c} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{118}$$

4.7 Two dimensional elliptic $D_l^{(1)}$ Toda equation ($l \geq 4$)

In this case, $N = 2l-2$, $M = N+2$, $r_1 = r_{l+1} = 2$, $r_2 = \dots = r_l = r_{l+2} = \dots = r_{2l} = 1$, $m = 2$; $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l-2}\right)$; $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-2}$ with non-zero entries $\Omega_{11} = \Omega_{ll} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Omega_{jj} = \omega^{-j+1}$ for $j = 2, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, 2l-2$; $K = (K_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-2}$ with non-zero entries $K_{11} = K_{ll} = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ and $K_{j, 2l-j} = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, 2l-2$; $J = (J_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-2}$ with non-zero entries $J_{12} = J_{l, l+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $J_{l-1, l} = J_{2l-2, 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $J_{j, j+1} = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, l-2, l, \dots, 2l-3$; $V = (V_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2l-2}$ with non-zero entries $V_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-u_1} & \\ & e^{u_1} \end{pmatrix}$, $V_{ll} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{u_l} & \\ & e^{-u_l} \end{pmatrix}$, $V_{jj} = e^{u_j}$ and $V_{2l-j, 2l-j} = e^{-u_j}$ for $j = 2, \dots, l-1$. The equation is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_1 &= e^{u_2+u_1} - e^{u_2-u_1}, \quad \Delta u_2 = e^{u_2+u_1} + e^{u_2-u_1} - e^{u_3-u_2}, \\ \Delta u_j &= e^{u_j-u_{j-1}} - e^{u_{j+1}-u_j} \quad (j = 3, \dots, l-2), \\ \Delta u_{l-1} &= e^{u_{l-1}-u_{l-2}} - e^{u_l-u_{l-1}} - e^{-u_l-u_{l-1}}, \quad \Delta u_l = e^{u_l-u_{l-1}} - e^{-u_l-u_{l-1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{119}$$

By setting $w_j = -(u_1 + \dots + u_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l-2$), $w_{l-1} = -\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + \dots + u_{l-1} + u_l)$, $w_l = -\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + \dots + u_{l-1} - u_l)$, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w_1 &= e^{2w_1-w_2} - e^{-w_2}, \\ \Delta w_j &= e^{-w_{j-1}+2w_j-w_{j+1}} - 2e^{-w_2} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-3), \\ \Delta w_{l-2} &= e^{-w_{l-3}+2w_{l-2}-w_{l-1}-w_l} - 2e^{-w_2}, \\ \Delta w_{l-1} &= e^{-w_{l-2}+2w_{l-1}} - e^{-w_2}, \quad \Delta w_l = e^{-w_{l-2}+2w_l} - e^{-w_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{120}$$

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ be a solution of (119), $\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{2l} \end{pmatrix}$ be a column solution of the corresponding Lax pair satisfying

$$h_{11}h_{12} + (-1)^{l-1}h_{l1}h_{l2} + \sum_{c=2}^{l-1}(-1)^{c-1}h_ch_{2-c} = 0 \quad (121)$$

where $h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ and $h_l = \begin{pmatrix} h_{l1} \\ h_{l2} \end{pmatrix}$, and $h_{11}, h_{12}, h_2, \dots, h_{l-1}, h_{l1}, h_{l2}, h_{l+1}, \dots, h_{2l-1}$ are scalar functions. By Theorem 2, the new solution of (119) is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1 &= u_1 + \ln \frac{|h_{11}|^2 e^{u_1} - \gamma_1}{|h_{12}|^2 e^{-u_1} - \gamma_1}, \\ \tilde{u}_j &= u_j + \ln \frac{g_{j+1}}{g_j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, l-1), \\ \tilde{u}_l &= u_l + \ln \frac{|h_{l1}|^2 e^{-u_l} - \gamma_l}{|h_{l2}|^2 e^{u_l} - \gamma_l} \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_j &= \frac{1}{(2l-2)^2} \left| 2\{1-j\}h_{11}h_{12} + 2(-1)^{l-1}\{l-j\}h_{l1}h_{l2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{c=2 \\ c \neq l}}^{2l-2} (-1)^{c+1} \{c-j\}h_{2-c}h_c \right|^2 - |\mu|^2 \gamma_{j-1} \gamma_{2-j} \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j &= \frac{1}{1 - |\mu|^{4l-4}} \left((-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-1\}} (|h_{11}|^2 e^{u_1} + |h_{12}|^2 e^{-u_1}) \right. \\ &\quad + (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+l-2\}} (|h_{l1}|^2 e^{-u_l} + |h_{l2}|^2 e^{u_l}) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{c=2}^{l-1} (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j+c-2\}} |h_c|^2 e^{-u_c} + \sum_{c=2}^{l-1} (-|\mu|^2)^{\{j-c\}} |h_{2-c}|^2 e^{u_c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2007CB814800) and the Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences of Ministry of Education of China.

References

- [1] Aratyn H., Constantinidis C.P., Ferreira L.A., Gomes J.F. and Zimerman A.H., Hirota's solitons in the affine and the conformal affine Toda models, *Nucl. Phys.* **B406** 727-770 (1993)

- [2] Bobenko A.I., All constant mean curvature tori in $\mathbf{R}^3$, S^3 and H^3 in terms of theta-functions, *Math. Ann.* **290** 209–245 (1991)
- [3] Cieřliński J.L., Algebraic construction of the Darboux matrix revisited, *J. Phys.* **A42** 404003 (2009)
- [4] Gomes J.F., Sotkov G.M. and Zimerman A.H., Nonabelian Toda theories from parafermionic reductions of the WZW model, *Ann. Phys.* **274** 289–362 (1999)
- [5] Gu C.H., Hu H.S. and Zhou Z.X., *Darboux Transformations in Integrable Systems* Dordrecht: Springer, 2005
- [6] Hu H.S., Darboux transformations between $\Delta\alpha = \sinh \alpha$ and $\Delta\alpha = \sin \alpha$, and the applications to pseudo-spherical congruences in $\mathbf{R}^{2,1}$, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **48** 187–195 (1999)
- [7] Kac V.G., *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras* 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990
- [8] Mackay N.J. and McGhee W.A., Affine Toda solitons and automorphisms of Dynkin diagrams, *Intl. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8** 2791–2807 (1993)
- [9] Matveev V.B., Darboux transformations and the explicit solutions of differential-difference and difference-difference evolution equations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **3** 217–222 (1979)
- [10] Matveev V.B. and Salle M.A., *Darboux transformations and solitons* Heidelberg: Springer, 1991
- [11] McIntosh I., Global solutions of the elliptic 2D periodic Toda lattice, *Nonlinearity* **7** 85–108 (1994)
- [12] Mikhailov A.V., Integrability of a two-dimensional generalization of the Toda chain, *Soviet JETP Lett.* **30** 414–418 (1979)
- [13] Mikhailov A.V., Olshanetsky M.A. and Perelomov A.M., Two-dimensional generalized Toda lattice, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79** 473–488 (1981)
- [14] Nimmo J.J.C. and Willox R., Darboux transformations for the two-dimensional Toda system, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A453** 2497–2525 (1997)
- [15] Nirov Kh.S. and Razumov A.V., Abelian Toda solitons revisited. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **20** 1209–1248 (2008)
- [16] Nirov Kh.S. and Razumov A.V., The rational dressing for abelian twisted loop Toda systems, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** 048 (2008)
- [17] Nirov Kh.S. and Razumov A.V., More non-Abelian loop Toda solitons, *J. Phys.* **A42** 285201 (2009)

- [18] Razumov A.V. and Saveliev M.V., Differential geometry of Toda systems, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **2** 461–511 (1994)
- [19] Rogers C. and Schief W.K., *Bäcklund and Darboux Transformations, Geometry and Modern Applications in Soliton Theory* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000
- [20] Saveliev M.V., Bäcklund transformations for the generalized two-dimensional Toda lattice, *Phys. Lett.* **A122** 312–316 (1987)
- [21] Terng C.L., Geometries and symmetries of soliton equations and integrable elliptic equations, *Surveys on Geometry and Integrable Systems* (Guest M., Miyaoka R. and Ohnita Y., ed.) Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **51** 401–488 (2008)
- [22] Zakharov V.B. and Mikhailov A.V., On the integrability of classical spinor models in two-dimensional space-time, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **74** 21–40 (1980)
- [23] Zhou Z.X., Darboux transformations and exact solutions of two dimensional $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ Toda equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** 033515 (2005)
- [24] Zhou Z.X., Darboux transformations and exact solutions of two dimensional $C_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equations, *J. Phys.* **A39** 5727–5737 (2006)
- [25] Zhou Z.X., Darboux transformations of lower degree for two dimensional $C_l^{(1)}$ and $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ Toda equations, *Inverse Problems* **24** 045016 (2008)
- [26] Zhu Z. and Caldi D.G., Multi-soliton solutions of affine Toda models, *Nucl. Phys.* **B436** 659–680 (1995)